

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

---

Ronald Capobianco,

Plaintiff,

v.

Sequium Asset Solutions, LLC ; and DOES 1-  
10, inclusive,

Defendants.

---

:  
:  
: Civil Action No.: \_\_\_\_\_  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

**COMPLAINT**

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Ronald Capobianco, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

**JURISDICTION**

1. This action arises out of the Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* ("FDCPA") in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.

2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendants transact business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

**PARTIES**

4. The Plaintiff, Ronald Capobianco ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Locust Valley, New York, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

5. Defendant Sequium Asset Solutions, LLC ("Sequium"), is a Georgia business entity with an address of 1130 Northchase Parkway, Suite 150, Marietta, Georgia 30067, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §

1692a(6).

6. Does 1-10 (the “Collectors”) are individual collectors employed by Sequium and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.

7. Sequium at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

### **ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS**

#### **A. The Debt**

8. The Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation (the “Debt”) to an original creditor (the “Creditor”).

9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a “debt” under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Sequium for collection, or Sequium was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.

11. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in “communications” as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

#### **B. Sequium Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics**

12. Within the last year, Sequium began contacting Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt.

13. In November 2019, Plaintiff sent a letter to Sequium via certified mail requesting it cease placing calls to him.

14. Sequium received Plaintiff’s letter on November 7, 2019.

15. Nevertheless, Sequium continued calling Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt.

16. Sequium's actions caused Plaintiff a great deal of frustration, stress, and anxiety.

**C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages**

17. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.

18. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.

**COUNT I**

**VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA - 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.**

19. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

20. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(1) in that Defendants contacted the Plaintiff at a place and during a time known to be inconvenient for the Plaintiff.

21. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(c) in that Defendants contacted the Plaintiff after having received written notification from the Plaintiff to cease communication.

22. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d in that Defendants engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt.

23. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendants caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass.

24. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e in that Defendants used false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of a

debt.

25. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) in that Defendants employed false and deceptive means to collect a debt.

26. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f in that Defendants used unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.

27. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.

28. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' violations.

## **COUNT II**

### **VIOLATIONS OF NEW YORK GBL § 349** **ENGAGING IN UNLAWFUL DECEPTIVE PRACTICES AND ACTS**

29. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein at length.

30. The acts, practices and conduct engaged in by the Defendants and complained of herein constitute "deceptive acts and practices" within the meaning of Article 22A of the General Business Law of the State of New York, NY GBL § 349.

31. The Defendants willfully and knowingly engaged in conduct constituting deceptive acts and practices in violation of NY GBL§ 349.

32. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the foregoing acts and practices, including damages associated with, among other things, humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment caused by the Defendants.

33. By virtue of the foregoing, the Plaintiff is entitled to recover actual damages, trebled, together with reasonable attorneys' fees.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, the Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be awarded in the Plaintiff's favor and against the Defendants as follows:

1. Against the named Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
2. Against each of the named Defendants, awarding the Plaintiff statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
3. Against the named Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff actual damages, trebled, pursuant to NY GBL § 349;
4. Against the named Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff recovery of the costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3);
5. Against the named Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff punitive damages in such amount as is found appropriate; and
6. Granting the Plaintiff such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

**TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS**

Dated: April 28, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Sergei Lemberg

Sergei Lemberg (SL 6331)  
LEMBERG LAW, L.L.C.  
43 Danbury Road, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
Wilton, CT 06897  
Telephone: (203) 653-2250  
Facsimile: (203) 653-3424  
Attorneys for Plaintiff